

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

JACK RYAN WILDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C24-5036 BHS

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT  
AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on Magistrate Judge David W. Christel's Report and Recommendation (R&R), Dkt. 3, recommending that the Court deny pro se Plaintiff Jack Wilder's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, Dkt. 1, and dismiss this action without prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Wilder has not objected to the R&R.

A district court "shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed finding or recommendations *to which objection is made*." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (emphasis added); *accord* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). "The statute makes it clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise." *United States v.*

1 *Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). A proper objection requires  
2 “specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations” in the R&R.  
3 Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2).

4 The R&R, Dkt. 3, is **ADOPTED**, Wilder’s application to proceed *in forma*  
5 *pauperis*, Dkt. 1, is **DENIED**, and this case is **DISMISSED without prejudice** and  
6 **without leave to amend**.

7 The Clerk shall enter **JUDGMENT** and close this case.

8 Dated this 2nd day of April, 2024.

9  
10 

11 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22